

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note:- There are Six Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 38 dated 21-12-2000 as follows :-

- 1) Extraordinary dated 21-12-2000 from pages 1099 to 1100 regarding Notification from Department of Legislature.
- 2) Extraordinary No. 2, dated 21-12-2000 from pages 1101 to 1106 regarding Notifications from Departments of Education, Art and Culture, Labour, Panchayati Raj and Personnel respectively.
- 3) Extraordinary No. 3, dated 22-12-2000 from pages 1107 to 1108 regarding Notification from Department of Personnel.
- 4) Extraordinary No. 4, dated 24-12-2000 from pages 1109 to 1110 regarding Notifications from Department of Finance (Revenue & Exp.).
- 5) Extraordinary No. 5, dated 26-12-2000 from pages 1111 to 1112 regarding Order and Notification from Department of Elections.
- 6) Extraordinary No. 6, dated 27-12-2000 from pages 1113 to 1114 regarding Notifications from Departments of Industries and Panchayati Raj respectively.

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 21-4-96-TS-JHCHS-HSG-CZ-RCS

- Read: 1) This Office Order No.21-4-96-TS-JHCHS/HSG-CZ/RCS dated 23/4/98.
- 2) This Office Order No.21-4-96-TS-JHCHS/HSG-CZ-RCS dated 20-10-99.
- 3) This Office Order No.21-4-96-TS-JHCHS-HSG-CZ-RCS dated 20/4/2000.
- 4) This Office Order No. 21-4-96-TS-JHCHS-HSG-CZ-RCS dated 27/7/2000.

By virtue of the powers vested in me under sub-section (1) of Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in this State read with sub-rule (1) of Rule 61 of the Coop. Societies Rules, 1962, I, Shri D.C.Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, hereby extend the period of Administrator of Jai Shree Hanuman Coop. Housing Society Ltd., Ponda Goa for a further period of 6 months w.e.f. 1/11/2000. The Administrator shall ensure that the election to the Managing Committee is held immediately and charge is handed over to the newly elected Managing Committee before the expiry of his term i.e. 30/4/2001.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop., Societies, Goa.
Panaji, 13th December, 2000.

Order

No.21-3-96-TS-Credit-CZ-RCS

Read: This Office order No.21-3-96-TS-Credit-CZ-RCS dated 2/12/99 superseding the Managing Committee of the M.R.F. Employees Coop. Credit Society Ltd., Tiska Usgao, Ponda, Goa and appointing a Committee of Administrator consisting of two persons to manage the affairs of the aforesaid society in terms of Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in the State of Goa read with Rule 61 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962 for the period of one year.

By virtue of the powers vested in me under Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as in force in this State read with Rule 61 of the Co-operative Societies Rules 1962, I, D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, Panaji, hereby extend the period of Committee of Administrators of the M.R.F. Employees Co-operative Credit Society Tiska, Usgao, Ponda Goa for the further period of two months w.e.f. 17/12/2000. The Committee of Administrators shall take immediate action to hold election to the Managing Committee of the society to facilitate the handing over of the charge of the society to the elected Managing Committee before expiry of the term of Committee of Administrators.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 1st December, 2000.

Order

No.19/4/96/SHMCS/TS/RCS

- Read: 1) This office order No.19/4/96/SHMCS/TS/RCS dated 12/10/1999.
- 2) This office order No.19/4/96/SHMCS/TS/RCS datd 4/8/2000.

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 78 of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960

as in force in this State read with Rule 61 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1962, I, Shri D.C.Sahoo, Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Panaji Goa hereby appoint Shri Damodar R. Prabhudessai, Margao, Goa as one of the member of the Committee of Administrator appointed under order referred to at (1) above to manage the affairs of the Salcete Taluka Co-operative House Mortgage Society Ltd., Margao.

This order shall come into force with immediate effect.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop., Societies, Goa.

Panaji, 7th December, 2000.

Department of Finance

Directorate of Accounts

Order

No. DA/Admn/45-6/2000-01/TR-3155/141

The Government is pleased to order the transfer and posting of the following Asstt. Accounts Officers of the Common Accounts Cadre as shown below with immediate effect, on administrative grounds.

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Office to which attached	Office to which transferred
1.	Shri Ravindra Kerikar.	Institute of Psychiatry & Human Behaviour (Near Fulancho Khuris), Bambolim-Goa.	Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation, Panaji-Goa.
2.	Shri Prakash Ghatwal.	Directorate of Planning, Statistics & Evaluation, Panaji-Goa.	Institute of Psychiatry & Human Behaviour (Near Fulancho Khuris), Bambolim-Goa.

The Officer at Sr. No. 1 shall move first and relieve the other Officer immediately to give effect to the transfer smoothly.

On joining their new assignment, the Officers shall send C. T. C./ Joining Report to this Directorate immediately for records.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

G. X. Warriar, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 15th December, 2000.

Department of Labour

Office of the Commissioner of Labour

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/2000/6193

The following Awards dated 28-11-2000 in reference No. IT/49/98 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 13th December, 2000.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/49/98.

Kum. Sugandha R. Panajikar,
Wadacha Wadi,
Shirigao-Goa. — Workman/Party I

v/s

M/s Nilima Twines and Ropes Pvt. Ltd.,
Karaswada,
Tivim Industrial Estate,
Bardez-Goa. — Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I represented by Shri Subhas Naik.

Employer/Party II - represented by Adv. Shri R. Chodankar.

Panaji dated: 28-11-2000.

Award

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 24th June, 1998 bearing No. IRM/CON/MAP/(77)/97/9402 referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

" Whether the action of the management of M/s Nilima Twines and Ropes Pvt. Limited, Thivim Industrial Estate, Karaswada, Bardez-Goa, in terminating the services of Kum. Sugandha R. Panajikar, Helper, with effect from 16-6-1997 is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/49/98 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice the parties put in their appearance. The Workman/Party I (for short, "Workman") filed its statement of claim at Exb. 3. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the workman are that she was employed with the Employer-Party II (for short, "Employer") since 2-11-1993 but she was not given any letter of appointment. That she was paid consolidated salary of Rs. 920/- p.m. and from her salary Provident Fund and ESI deductions were made every month. That she worked continuously with the employer from the date of her appointment till the date of termination of her service. That on 14-6-97 she reported for work as usual but she was orally informed that her services stood terminated w.e.f. 16-6-97. That she reported for work on 16-6-97 but she was not allowed to resume the duties and she was not given any reasons for termination of her services. That she was not given one month's notice nor she was paid any retrenchment compensation though she had worked for more than 240 days prior to the termination of her service. The workman contended that the employer terminated her services in violation of the provisions of Sec. 25F, 25G & 25H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The workman claimed that since termination of her services by the employer from 16-6-97 is illegal and unjustified she is entitled to be reinstated in service with full back wages and other consequential benefits.

3. After the statement of claim was filed the case was fixed for filing of the written statement by the employer. However, the parties submitted that they have amicably settled the dispute and they filed the consent terms dated 1-7-99 at Exb. 4. The Parties prayed that consent award be passed in terms of the settlement. I have gone through the consent terms dated 1-7-99-Exb. 4. which are duly signed by the parties. I am satisfied that the said consent terms are certainly in the interest of the workman. I, therefore accept the submissions made by parties and pass the consent award in terms of the settlement dated 1-7-99 - Exb. 4.

Order

1. That Party No. II shall pay to Party No. I, a sum of Rupees 14000/- (Rupees Fourteen Thousand only) in full and final settlement of all disputes.
2. The Party No. I hereby agree and declare that they shall have no other and further claim against Party No. II of whatsoever nature including reinstatement in employment.
3. That the parties shall bear their own costs.

No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(AJIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/2000/6194

The following Awards dated 28-11-2000 in reference No. IT/51/98 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 13th December, 2000.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/51/98.

Kum. Kalpana B. Kandolkar,
Dadchi Wadi, Dhargal,
Pernem-Goa.

— Workman/Party I

v/s

M/s Nilima Twines and Ropes Pvt. Ltd.,
Karaswada,
Tivim Industrial Estate,
Bardez-Goa.

— Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I represented by Shri Subhas Naik.

Employer/Party II - represented by Adv. Shri R. Chodankar.

Panaji dated: 28-11-2000.

Award

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 29th June, 1998 bearing No. IRM/CON/MAP/(73)/97/9404 referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

" Whether the action of the management of M/s Nilima Twines and Ropes Pvt. Limited, Thivim Industrial Estate, Karaswada, Bardez-Goa, in terminating the services of Kum. Kalpana Kandolkar, Helper, with effect from 16-6-1997 is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/51/98 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice the parties put in their appearance. The

Workman/Party I (for short, "Workman") filed its statement of claim at Exb. 4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the workman are that she was employed with the Employer-Party II (for short, "Employer") since 31-8-1993 but she was not given any letter of appointment. That she was paid consolidated salary of Rs. 920/- p.m. and from her salary Provident Fund and ESI deductions were made every month. That she worked continuously with the employer from the date of her appointment till the date of termination of her service. That on 14-6-97 she reported for work as usual but she was orally informed that her services stood terminated w.e.f. 16-6-97. That she reported for work on 16-6-97 but she was not allowed to resume the duties and she was not given any reasons for termination of her services. That she was not given one month's notice nor she was paid any retrenchment compensation though she had worked for more than 240 days prior to the termination of her service. The workman contended that the employer terminated her services in violation of the provisions of Sec. 25F, 25G & 25H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The workman claimed that since termination of her services by the employer from 16-6-97 is illegal and unjustified she is entitled to be reinstated in service with full back wages and other consequential benefits.

3. After the statement of claim was filed the case was fixed for filing of the written statement by the employer. However, the parties submitted that they have amicably settled the dispute and they filed the consent terms dated 1-7-99 at Exb. 5. The Parties prayed that consent award be passed in terms of the settlement. I have gone through the consent terms dated 1-7-99-Exb. 5 which are duly signed by the parties. I am satisfied that the said consent terms are certainly in the interest of the workman. I, therefore accept the submissions made by parties and pass the consent award in terms of the settlement dated 1-7-99 - Exb. 5.

Order

1. That Party No. II shall pay to Party No. I, a sum of Rupees 14000/- (Rupees Fourteen Thousand only) in full and final settlement of all disputes.
2. The Party No. I hereby agree and declare that they shall have no other and further claim against Party No. II of whatsoever nature including reinstatement in employment.
3. That the parties shall bear their own costs.

No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(AJIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/2000/6195

The following Awards dated 28-11-2000 in reference No. IT/47/98 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour & Ex-Officio
Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 13th December, 2000.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/47/98.

Smt. Deepali D. Surlekar,
Oshall Bag, Dhargal,
Pernem-Goa.

— Workman/Party I

v/s

M/s Nilima Twines and Ropes Pvt. Ltd.,
Karaswada,
Tivim Industrial Estate,
Bardez-Goa.

— Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I represented by Shri Subhas Naik.

Employer/Party II - represented by Adv. Shri R. Chodankar.

Panaji, dated: 28-11-2000.

Award

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 24th June, 1998 bearing No. IRM/CON/ /MAP/(74)/97/9399 referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

" Whether the action of the management of M/s Nilima Twines and Ropes Pvt. Limited, Thivim Industrial Estate, Karaswada, Bardez-Goa, in terminating the services of Smt. Deepali D. Surlikar, Helper, with effect from 16-6-1997 is legal and justified ?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/47/98 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice the parties put in their appearance. The Workman/Party I (for short, "Workman") filed its statement of claim at Exb. 4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the workman are that she was employed with the Employer-Party II (for short, "Employer") since 24-8-93 but she was not given any letter of appointment. The she was paid consolidated

salary of Rs. 920/- p.m. and from her salary Provident Fund and ESI deductions were made every month. That she worked continuously with the employer from the date of her appointment till the date of termination of her service. That on 14-6-97 she reported for work as usual but she was orally informed that her services stood terminated w.e.f. 16-6-97. That she reported for work on 16-6-97 but she was not allowed to resume the duties and she was not given any reasons for termination of her services. That she was not given one month's notice nor she was paid any retrenchment compensation though she had worked for more than 240 days prior to the termination of her service. The workman contended that the employer terminated her services in violation of the provisions of Sec. 25F, 25G & 25H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The workman claimed that since termination of her services by the employer from 16-6-97 is illegal and unjustified she is entitled to be reinstated in service with full back wages and other consequential benefits.

3. After the statement of claim was filed the case was fixed for filing of the written statement by the employer. However, the parties submitted that they have amicably settled the dispute and they filed the consent terms dated 1-7-99 at Exb. 5. The Parties prayed that consent award be passed in terms of the settlement. I have gone through the consent terms dated 1-7-99-Exb. 5 which are duly signed by the parties. I am satisfied that the said consent terms are certainly in the interest of the workman. I, therefore accept the submissions made by parties and pass the consent award in terms of the settlement dated 1-7-99 - Exb. 5.

Order

1. That Party No. II shall pay to Party No. I, a sum of Rupees 14000/- (Rupees Fourteen Thousand only) in full and final settlement of all disputes.
2. The Party No. I hereby agree and declare that they shall have no other and further claim against Party No. II of whatsoever nature including reinstatement in employment.
3. That the parties shall bear their own costs.

No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(AJIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 22/111/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the

land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. for market Complex Phase II near Colva road Junction, Margao.

And whereas in the opinion of the Government the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") are applicable.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the said Act, that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

The Government further directs under sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of section 5A of the said Act shall not apply in respect of the said land.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, Deputy Collector (L.A.), Margao, Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, South Goa District, Margao under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao.
2. The Deputy Collector (L.A.), Collectorate South Goa District, Margao.
3. The South Goa Planning & Development Authority, Margao.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector (L.A.), Margao, Goa, for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete		Village: Margao	
Survey No./ /P.T.S. No.	Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1.	2.	3.	4
110	1/1	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Luizinho Barreto.	450
	1/2	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Antonio Fernandes.	210
	1/3	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Eusebio Barreto.	26
110	5/1	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Sodu Karo.	2661
	5/2	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Luizinho Barreto.	1912
	5/3	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Mariano Gonsalves.	2107
	5/4	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Miguel Costa.	2143
	5/5	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Countantino.	2150
	5/6	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Joaquim M. Rodrigues. T-Luis Caetano Rodrigues.	2076
	5/7	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Piedade Branganza.	2455
100 1 (part)		Ivo Oscar de Gouveia Pinto Soares. Rui Francisco de Gouveia Pinto Soares.	2445
110	8/10	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Girzo Sathe.	269
	8/11	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Arcanjo Rodrigues.	244
	8/12	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Maria Magdalena Fernandes.	400
110	8/13	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Girzo Gouli Sathe.	800
	8/14	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Andreas Fernandes.	356
110	9/1	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Jose Rebello.	604
	9/2	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Santana Fernandes.	539
	9/3	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Maria Magdalena Fernandes.	611
	9/4	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Drupadi Datta Naik.	822
	9/5	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Drupadi Datta Naik.	773
110	10/1	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Felicidade de Conceicao Afonso.	828

1.	2.	3.	4
	10/2	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Carlsta Ataida Barreto.	826
	10/3	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Santana Furtado.	832
	10/4	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Rosario Francisco.	530
109	18/5	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Rosario Costa.	500
	18/6	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Jack Rosario Costa.	387
	18/7	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Milagres D'Costa. T-Minguel D'Costa.	443
	18/8	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Sukdo Shankar Naik.	187
	18/9	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Sukdo Shankar Naik.	75
	18/10	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Milagres D'Costa. T-Minguel D'Costa.	81
	18/11	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-Jack Rosario Costa.	206
110	4	H-Comunidade de Margao. T-	140
17	72	A) Soares. 1) Ivo Oscar de Gouveia Pinto Soares. 2) Rui F. De Gouveia Pinto Soares.	1890
139	10	Ivo Oscar de Gouveia Pinto Soares. Rui Francisco Venancia de Gouveia Pinto Soares.	1612
139	25	Ivo Oscar do Gouveia Pinto Soares. Rui Francisco Venencia de Gouveia Pinto Soares.	50
109	16	Erilda Araujo.	435

Boundaries:

North: S. No. 109/18/5, 1/100, 110/1,
110/1/2, 110/1/3, 110/8/10,
110/9/1, 9/2, 9/3 & 9/5.

South: Road.

East: S. No. 110 1/2, 110 1/3, 110 5/7,
110 4/10.

West: S. No. 110 7/10, Road.

Total: 33075

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yvonne Cunha, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 28th November, 2000.

Notification

No. 22/78/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Construction of road at Conxem, Nirankal of Village Conxem of Ponda Taluka in Shiroda Constituency.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act, 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/S.D.O., Ponda to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. The Deputy Collector/S.D.O., Ponda.
3. The Executive Engineer, Div. XVIII(R), PWD, Ponda-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector & S.D.O. Ponda-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Ponda			Village: Conxem
Survey No.	Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Appx. area in sq. mts.
1.	2.	3.	4.
10	4 part	H: Suresh Ganpat Samant.	950
11	11 part	H: Vishwanath Uttam Samant.	300
11	13 part	H: 1) Vishwanath Uttam Samant. 2) Ramnath Balkrishna Samant. 3) Mohan Balkrishna Samant. 4) Pandurang Balkrishna Samant.	100
11	6 part	H: 1) Pandurang Balkrishna Samant. 2) Mohan Balkrishna Samant. 3) Ramnath Balkrishna Samant.	200
11	7 part	H: Dadu Babulo Samant.	375
11	8 part	H: Vishram Laxman Samant.	800
11	9 part	H: Ramnath Audoji Velguekar.	750
			Total: 3475

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yvonne Cunha, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 12th December, 2000.

Notification

No. 22/114/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. L. A. for widening of road from Verem market to Kegdoveli.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of

this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector & S.D.O., Mapusa, Bardez-Goa, to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. The Dy. Collector & S.D.O., Mapusa, Bardez-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, W. D. XIII(R), P.W.D., Mapusa-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector & S.D.O. Mapusa, Bardez Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bardez		Village: Reis-Magos		
Survey No.	Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Appx. area in sq. mts.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	
88	8 part	O: Church of Reis Magos.	125	
	9 part	O: Govt. of Goa, Daman & Diu.	75	
	3 part	O: Fabrica De Igreja de Reis Magos.	800	
	5 part	O: Darwin Agnelo Simoes.	150	
	2 part	O: Caetano Lopes.	50	
89	4 part	O: Darwin Agnelo Simoes.	200	
	1 part	O: 1) Fabrica de Igreja de Reis Magos.	700	

1.	2.	3.	4.
		2) Lawrence Oswaldo Mendes.	
		3) Rita T. Fernandes.	
		4) Alirio A. P. Socorr Mendes.	
		5) Maria R. A. Mendonsa.	
2 part	O: 1)	Vitu L.M.C. Albuquerque.	125
		2) Gelasio F. A. Moraes.	
3 part	O: Domingos Carvalho Travasso.		125
87 1 part	O: 1)	Somnath V. Pai Dhungat.	1950
		2) Mahadev Govekar.	
		3) Francisca V. Pinto.	
		4) Anna M. I. Agnela D'Souza.	
		5) Mary A. Marshall.	
		6) Francis Marshall.	
		7) Piety Marshall.	
		8) Shekhar V. Kolgaokar.	
		9) Perpet P. E. Almaida.	
1-A	O: Reis Magos Estate Pvt. Ltd.		250
86 1 part	O: 1)	Somnath V. Pai Dhungat.	950
		2) Clandio J.C.M. D'Melo.	
		3) Dinanath Govekar.	
		4) Carles A. T. Durati.	
		5) Ros B. Almaida.	
		6) Julia A. Fernandes.	
		7) Manuel C. Dias.	
1 - E	O: Puspha S. Vaigankar.		50
1 - D	O: Shripad M. Vaigankar.		50
1 - A	O: Reis Magos Estate Pvt. Ltd.		100
87 1 - B	O: Reis Magos Estate Pvt. Ltd.		350
104 13 part	O: 1)	Venkatesh R. Naik.	275
		2) Ramnath R. Naik.	
		3) Govind R. Naik.	
10 part	O: Santana Piedade Sacorro Fernandes alias Secorro D'Souza.		100
85 24 part	O: 1)	Venkatesh R. Naik.	200
		2) Datta R. Naik.	
85 13 part	O: Vasudev Dharma Kamat.		125
	2 part	O: Gram Panchayat Reis Magos.	175

Boundaries:

North: Road.
 South: Road.
 East: S. No. 85, 86, 89 & 88.
 West: S. No. 104, 87 & 88.

Total: 6925

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yvonne Cunha, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 12th December, 2000.